# FAIRFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT 1226 US Highway 321 Bypass South Winnsboro, SC 29180 PK-12 GRADES 3.477 Students ENROLLMENT Dr. Clarence E. Willie 803-635-4607 SUPERINTENDENT Ms. Annie E. McDaniel BOARD CHAIR 803-635-6894 FISCAL AUTHORITY District Board/County Council THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2004 ANNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 1 7 8 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This district met 19 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

## PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Average	N/A
2003	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Below Average	Average	No

### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTNG IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

80.9%

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

# Our District Districts with Students like Ours









**Mathematics** 

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded

Proficient Well prepar

nt Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

# HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

	Our District			Districts with Students like Ours				
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed both subtests	53.9	N/A	N/A	62.7	N/A	N/A		
Passed 1 subtest	22.2	N/A	N/A	18.1	N/A	N/A		
Passed no subtests	23.9	N/A	N/A	19.3	N/A	N/A		

### ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP\*

Percent of	Our District	Districts with Students like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	2.7	4.1
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	2.7	4.1
Seniors who met the grade point average	47.3	36.2
*Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements		

PACT PERFORMANCE E	SY GRO	UP					
	/ 72	T	% Below Bacic	. T	T	Τ.	% Proficient and Advanced
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	, / 8	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient ar Advanced
	<u> </u>	ž / ž	/ 100		<sup>2</sup>	/ai/	"Jejej
	10, 10	/ %	Be	/ %	/ 4	/ %	18 8
	A B	/	/ %	/	/	/	/% `/
		/ glish/Lan					
All Students	1,686	98.7	43.2	38.4	17.2	1.3	18.5
Gender	1,000	33.1					
Male	823	98.2	49.0	35.6	14.4	0.9	15.3
Female	863	99.2	37.8	40.8	19.8	1.6	21.3
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	214	98.6	28.4	35.6	33.5	2.6	36.1
African-American	1,454	98.7	45.5	39.0	14.5	0.9	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	16	100.0	20.0	20.0	46.7	13.3	60.0
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Not Disabled	1,323	99.0	38.1	41.1	19.3	1.4	20.8
Disabled	363	97.5	62.0	28.2	9.2	0.6	9.8
Migrant Status	,						
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	1,686	98.7	43.2	38.4	17.2	1.3	18.5
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	1,680	98.7	43.3	38.4	17.1	1.3	18.3
Socio-Economic Status							15.0
Subsidized meals	1,420	98.5	45.5	38.6	15.2	0.7	15.9
Full-pay meals	266	99.6	30.9	36.9	28.1	4.0	32.1
			Mathema	tics			
All Students	1,686	99.3	45.8	41.9	10.1	2.2	12.3
Gender	,						
Male	823	98.9	47.6	40.8	9.4	2.2	11.6
Female	863	99.7	44.1	42.9	10.7	2.3	12.9
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	214	98.6	32.5	43.8	17.5	6.2	23.7
African-American	1,454	99.4	48.0	41.4	9.1	1.6	10.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	16	100.0	20.0	60.0	6.7	13.3	20.0
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status	1 4 000	00.0	00.4	40.0	40.0	0.0	45.4
Not Disabled	1,323	99.6	38.4	46.6	12.3	2.8	15.1
Disabled	363	98.4	73.2	24.7	1.8	0.3	2.1
Migrant Status Migrant		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	1,686	99.3	45.8	41.9	10.1	2.2	12.3
English Proficiency	1,000	33.3	40.0	41.9	10.1	2.2	12.3
Limited English Proficient	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	1,680	99.3	45.9	41.7	10.1	2.3	12.3
Socio-Economic Status	1,000	99.5	70.0	71.7	10.1	2.3	12.0
Subsidized meals	1,420	99.2	47.3	41.9	9.0	1.8	10.8
Full-pay meals	266	99.6	37.8	41.8	15.7	4.8	20.5
i ali pay moulo	1 200	, 55.5	1 01.0	1 -1.0	10.7	, 7.0	20.0

# DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

# **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

PAC	T PERFO	RMANCE	BY GR	ADE LE	VEL			
		Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
		Da E	<u> </u>	%		/ %	%	% <u>4</u>
			Englis	sh/Langua				
	Grade 3	298	98.3	25.5	45.1	26.8	2.6	29.4
-00	Grade 4	298	97.7	49.1	41.5	9.4	N/A	9.4
Lä	Grade 5	272	98.9	52.4	40.9	6.7	N/A	6.7
70	Grade 6	303	96.7	44.1	40.9	13.6	1.4	15.0
	Grade 7	292	95.9	56.1	33.3	10.5	N/A	10.5
	Grade 8	299	98.3	58.2	36.8	5.0	N/A	5.0
	Grade 3	250	98.0	17.3	35.0	42.4	5.3	47.7
	Grade 4	307	98.0	36.5	49.1	14.3	N/A	14.3
0	Grade 5	288	99.0	44.6	44.6	10.7	N/A	10.7
70	Grade 6	269	98.5	50.4	38.0	10.9	0.8	11.6
	Grade 7	295	99.3	51.4	38.7	9.6	0.3	9.9
	Grade 8	283	99.3	54.6	33.6	10.4	1.4	11.8
				<b>Mathemat</b>	ics			
	Grade 3	298	99.0	33.3	51.5	13.1	2.1	15.2
	Grade 4	298	99.7	49.6	40.8	8.3	1.3	9.6
8	Grade 5	272	99.3	44.7	46.2	7.2	1.9	9.1
2	Grade 6	303	96.7	49.3	36.5	10.5	3.7	14.2
	Grade 7	292	96.9	58.3	33.8	6.7	1.3	7.9
	Grade 8	299	98.7	53.8	42.9	2.9	0.4	3.3
	Grade 3	250	98.8	22.4	59.2	15.1	3.3	18.4
**	Grade 4	307	99.3	41.6	45.6	10.1	2.7	12.8
2	Grade 5	288	99.3	50.5	39.9	8.5	1.1	9.6
7(	Grade 6	269	99.3	36.5	47.3	13.8	2.3	16.2

3.1

0.7

2.9

8.9

# SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

99.7

99.3

59.7

59.3

28.3

37.9

These schools will be reported in a separate document.

295

283

Grade 7

Grade 8

All Students Gender Male Female Racial/Ethnic Group White African-American Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	259 136 123 32 221	93.8 91.9 95.9	h/Langu 28.2 36.6 19.1		/	#5 / 10.1 # Advanced	% Proficient and
Gender Male Female Racial/Ethnic Group White African-American Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	259 136 123 32 221	93.8 91.9 95.9	h/Langu 28.2 36.6	age Arts 36.6		10.1	
Gender Male Female Racial/Ethnic Group White African-American Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	136 123 32 221	91.9 95.9	36.6		25.2	10.1	35.3
Male Female Racial/Ethnic Group White African-American Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	123 32 221	95.9		39 R			
Female Racial/Ethnic Group White African-American Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	123 32 221	95.9		39.8			
Racial/Ethnic Group White African-American Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	32 221		10 1		17.1	6.5	23.6
Nhite African-American Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	221	00.0	19.1	33.0	33.9	13.9	47.8
African-American Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	221	000					
Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic		96.9	10.0	23.3	30.0	36.7	66.7
Hispanic	1 1	93.7	31.0	38.9	24.1	5.9	30.0
	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Not Disabled	227	95.6	21.7	38.7	28.3	11.3	39.6
Disabled	32	81.3	80.8	19.2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	259	93.8	28.2	36.6	16.4	10.1	35.3
English Proficiency							
imited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	259	93.8	28.2	36.6	25.2	10.1	35.3
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	192	94.3	30.0	38.9	25.6	5.6	31.1
Full-pay meals	67	92.5	22.4	29.3	24.1	24.1	48.3
		N	/lathema	tics			
All Students	259	93.8	41.6	38.2	16.4	3.8	20.2
Gender	200	00.0	11.0	00.2	10.1	0.0	20.2
Male	136	91.9	48.8	36.6	12.2	2.4	14.6
Female	123	95.9	33.9	40.0	20.9	5.2	26.1
Racial/Ethnic Group	120	00.0	00.0	1010	20.0	0.12	
White	32	96.9	26.7	33.3	33.3	6.7	40.0
African-American	221	93.7	44.8	38.4	13.8	3.0	16.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status	1471	1 47 1	1471	1 47 1	1 47 1	1 47 1	14,7 (
Not Disabled	227	95.6	34.9	42.5	18.4	4.2	22.6
Disabled	32	81.3	96.2	3.8	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant Status				3.0	,	,, .	
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	259	93.8	41.6	38.2	16.4	3.8	20.2
English Proficiency		00.0	11.5	00.2	10.7	0.0	20.2
imited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	259	93.8	41.6	38.2	16.4	3.8	20.2
Socio-Economic Status	200	00.0	71.0	00.2	10.7	5.0	20.2
Subsidized meals	192	94.3	43.9	40.6	13.3	2.2	15.6
Full-pay meals	67	92.5	34.5	31.0	25.9	8.6	34.5

PERFORMANCE BY STU	DENT G	ROUPS					
		nm Passage Spring 2004		y for LIFE rships*	G	raduation	Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective
All students	173	91.9%	184	2.7%	193	75.1%	N/A
Gender							
Male	58	87.9%	67	1.5%	77	59.7%	
Female	114	93.9%	117	3.4%	116	85.3%	
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	13	100.0%	9	0.0%	13	76.9%	
African American	155	91.6%	171	2.9%	177	75.7%	
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	
Hispanic	3	I/S	3	I/S	2	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status							
Not disabled	162	92.6%	162	3.1%	157	86.6%	
Disabilities other than speech	11	81.8%	22	0.0%	36	25.0%	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	4	I/S	0	N/A	1	I/S	
Non-migrant	167	92.2%	184	2.7%	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	172	91.9%	184	2.7%	190	75.3%	
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	105	89.5%	72	2.8%	113	69.9%	
Full-pay meals	67	95.5%	112	2.7%	80	82.5%	
* Using only the SAT and grade point av	erage require	ements					

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY	SPRING 2004	
	Our District	Districts with Students like Ours
Percent	91.9%	89.9%
GRADUATION RATE		
	Our District	Districts with Students like Ours
Number of Students	193	191
Number of Diplomas	145	141
Rate	75.1%	75.1%

# 2003-04 College Admissions Tests

Math

2003 2004 2003 2004 2003 2004

Verbal

SAT

District	423	401	402	400	000	001					
State	493	491	496	495	989	986					
Nation	507	508	519	518	1026	1026					
ACT	En	glish	M	ath	Rea	ading	Sci	ence	To	otal	
	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	
District	13.8	14.2	15.4	15.4	15.1	15.6	15.2	15.7	15.0	15.3	
State	18.7	18.8	19.0	19.1	19.4	19.4	19.2	19.3	19.2	19.3	
Nation	20.3	20.4	20.6	20.7	21.2	21.3	20.8	20.9	20.8	20.9	

Total

airfield School District				2001999
ISTRICT PROFILE				
	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Mediar Distric
udents (n= 3,477)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	N/C	100.0%	97.2%
Retention rate	4.3%	Down from 5.0%	6.4%	5.3%
Attendance rate	96.1%	Up from 94.0%	96.2%	96.2%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	10.4%		9.0%	5.8%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	8.8%		7.5%	5.1%
Eligible for gifted and talented	13.1%	Up from 12.7%	6.4%	11.6%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
Nith disabilities other than speech	11.1%	Up from 10.8%	10.5%	10.9%
Older than usual for grade	4.3%	Down from 5.9%	7.0%	5.0%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.5%	Down from 1.6%	1.9%	1.1%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	10.6%	Up from 9.6%	6.3%	9.9%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	52	Down from 452	160	157
Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	7	Down from 36	16	39
Annual dropout rate	2.7%	Up from 1.6%	2.6%	2.9%
eachers (n= 281)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	47.3%	Up from 44.4%	44.5%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	74.4%	Up from 67.5%	79.0%	84.6%
Highly qualified teachers**	80.6%	N/A	90.8%	92.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	13.3%		11.3%	4.4%
Teachers returning from previous year	84.6%	Up from 82.4%	86.7%	89.9%
Teacher attendance rate	94.6%	Up from 94.4%	94.1%	94.7%
Average teacher salary	\$40,589	Up 0.8%	\$38,423	\$40,566
/acancies for more than nine weeks	0.0%	N/C	1.0%	0.3%
Prof. development days/teacher	12.9 days	Up from 12.7 days	11.9 days	12.0 days
strict				
Superintendent's years at district	0.0	Down from 2.0	3.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	18.7 to 1	Down from 20.2 to 1	19.5 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.1%	Up from 85.4%	88.2%	89.5%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$9,872	Up 3.7%	\$8,610	\$7,217

**Our District** State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools\*\* N/A 92.0% 81.5% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools\*\* 91.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers\*\* 65.0% Yes Student attendance rate 95.3% Yes

49.1%

Good

99.3%

0

3.6%

\$62.959

26

8

Down from 50.7%

Up from 95.6%

No change

No change

No change

No change

No change

Up from 25

No change

Up from 2.8%

53.6%

93.9%

6

0

0

5.9%

\$65.649

30

5

Excellent

55.6%

Excellent

97.3%

8

0

0

0

4.3%

\$67.300

26 8

Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries\*

Opportunities in the arts

Number of schools

Portable classrooms

Parents attending conferences

Number of magnet schools

Number of charter schools

Number of alternative schools

Average administrator salary

Average age in years of school facilities

\* Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Number of schools with SACS accreditation

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

### SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

Board Membership 7 trustees elected to single-member seats

Fiscal Authority District Board/County Council
Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 36.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation 100.0%

### DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

During the past school year the Board of Trustees of the School District of Fairfield County conducted a public outreach campaign to gain the public perception of the School District's operations and to expand the community's interest. Several challenges were identified. They included a major focus on raising student achievement as identified by state and national academic measures, to produce a competitive "quality graduate" from our schools and to establish a system "dedicated to continuous improvement." All entities are diligently working to maximize the opportunities for the children of Fairfield County. The focus has been on using data, technology, curriculum mapping, and professional development for continuous improvement. Data is being used as the foundation for school and classroom content and instructional decisions. SuccessMaker, a program that provides each student with individualized instruction targeted to the students' greatest areas of need, has been implemented in all of our schools to determine the understanding of state standards and concepts. Teachers take the information to determine the academic needs of each child and "prescribe" the individualized instruction for students. In addition, the District uses MAP (Measures of Academic Progress), a standards-based assessment three times per year to gauge the level of academic progress in preparation for PACT testing. This program is also used to guide classroom instruction by providing individual student achievement in the areas of Reading/Language Arts and Mathematics. Both of these programs are technology driven, giving the teachers, students, administrators and parents an in-depth review of student mastery of South Carolina standards. SuccessMaker and MAP are delivered via computer labs and a new wireless computer program implemented this year. All District fifth and sixth graders were provided wireless labs in their classrooms. In addition to using the wireless computers to support instruction and for assessment, students were taught to develop PowerPoint presentations, internet searches, keyboard, and word processing.

Next year as part of the continuous improvement effort, the district will participate in a grant funded reading initiative through Reading 1st. The high school and middle school will implement a school reform effort through the Southern Region Education Board, "High Schools That Work" and "Making Middle Grades Work." These two efforts have proven in many schools across the nation to raise student achievement based on data-driven decision-making.

The School District of Fairfield County is expanding the potential for each child. Serious and well thought out efforts are being made by the Board of Trustees, administrators, teachers, support staff and parents to ensure that potential is realized.

Walter L. Tobin, Interim Superintendent, Fairfield County